

**Welcome back to the U.S.S.R., KGB-wannabes & other comrades
COMMUNISM: A Closer Look at the Enemy**

Pacifica Lecture by Valdas Anelauskas

**University of Oregon
Pacifica Forum
Agate Hall
February 5, 2010**

Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen, good afternoon comrades student activists, welcome to Pacifica's time machine, and welcome back to the USSR, or, well, perhaps welcome back to the future of America.

Myself, I've been there, seen it all, and I am seasoned in battles with real KGB. (I was arrested by the KGB for the first time when I was only 14 years old, and then it took them another 15 years till they finally expelled me from the USSR.) So, what I want to say is that after having dealt with real thing, I'm not scared of some KGB-wannabes who protesting Pacifica and trying to disrupt our meetings want perhaps, well, just to have fun and throw some excitement into their otherwise smooth transition from Ritalin to Prozac. I want to make it clear to all those who came here as our (I mean Pacifica's) enemies that I am not soft-spoken professor like Billy Rojas, so you can't expect to disrupt my lecture as easily, to turn it again into some Circus Pacificus Forumus. And since you came here as my enemies, so don't expect respect from me either. There are 22,386 students at the University of Oregon and you, well, you hardly make even 1%, so you represent here pretty much nothing but yourselves.

Don't expect also any interaction on my part. And, well, since English isn't my first or second or even third language, and I'm not really a good speaker in any language anyway, so I simply prefer to read my lecture's text. And, yes, I am going to deliver it. If you want, you can sing your songs, or dance, then if you get tired you can sleep, I don't care. Well, unless you set this building on fire and then I would have to yell "Fire!" in a crowded theater, which is the only kind of speech not protected by First Amendment... But I hope it will not come to that.

That being said, I will move now to my actual lecture.

Last year, in May, I gave a lecture at Pacifica Forum about Frankfurt School. When Professor Orval Etter was introducing me, just as he did now, he asked how

long I had been living in Eugene. And that was on May 22nd. I suddenly realized that exactly 15 years ago, also on May 22nd, we had come to Oregon. Then, as Professor Etter kept on speaking, I kept on thinking, and realized that over 20 years ago, also on May 22nd, my wife and I were forced to leave our native country Lithuania, when it was still part of what used to be called Soviet Union (or USSR). A flashback came to my mind and I remembered that when we were about to board train in our capitol city of Vilnius, to go to Vienna, we were standing in train station where a handful of our friends and family came to bid us farewell. About three times as many KGB agents came as well, just to keep an eye on what's going on, they were swarming around the station... When I saw all those KGB and the train was about to start moving, I felt that maybe we will never see our native country again and then I just shouted to everybody, to those who were bidding us goodbye, shouted very loudly, from moving train — keep fighting the communists, till victory!

Well, on that day I thought that I was leaving communism behind and I hoped that I would never again have to experience anything even remotely close to communism. Honestly, I wanted to forget it as a nightmare. But, now, what irony of fate, that I am giving this lecture about the Communism, about the danger of Neo-Communist beast, here in America.

I intentionally titled this presentation “Welcome back to the USSR.” These attributes in background remind of times 30 years ago when I was a student myself, when we had red soviet flags, like this, and images of Lenin in each classroom. When Billy Rojas was giving his lecture in this room two weeks ago, there was American flag behind him and you showed no respect for that flag, for what it stands for, so I thought that for such a crowd this red communist flag will perhaps be more appropriate...

Now *you* brought me back to those almost forgotten times when, for example, my friend got arrested and spent a couple years in prison for... well, for having a copy of George Orwell's book *1984*. The biggest irony of that was because it happened, yes, in the year 1984.

How could I have known 20 years ago, when I was leaving the USSR — the so-called “communist paradise” — that I would have to step in same river twice? While the real USSR and its communist regime are long gone, its spirit, as I can see, lives on. I can see it, I can feel communist spirit right here in this room. Therefore I think that this red flag of communism that we have here is very appropriate for such crowd. You (I mean those who came to protest against Pacifica, against free speech, to disrupt our meeting) you remind me of the *komsomoltsy* — the student activists of communist youth organizations that were at

our universities when I was your age. Komsomol served as the youth wing of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union. Like all totalitarian regimes, the Soviet communist regime wanted to seize and shape the minds of young people at an early, formative stage. Lenin called komsomol “shock force” of the revolution. It was considered “the party’s helper and its reserve, a school of Communism for youth.” Their emblem was the same Lenin that you can see here behind me.

All those fantasies and fabrications, about “unsafe” campus, because of Pacifica, which is now presented as public safety issue, stories about how some skinheads somewhere assaulted somebody, because they possibly were influenced, well, by Pacifica, and so on, also remind me of the hysteria surrounding show trials in Soviet Russia, in the 1930s (stories about enemies of the Soviet people digging tunnel under Kremlin so they could get in and assassinate comrade Stalin); or, more recently, Soviet propaganda was spitting various nonsenses, such as that the CIA was trying to poison Fidel Castro with some special chemicals which would cause his beard to fall out during public speech...

Well, I remember I read somewhere that President Lincoln was fond of asking, “If you call a dog’s tail a leg, how many legs does a dog have?” “Five,” his audience would invariably respond. The correct answer, he would point out, is four. Calling a tail a leg does not make it a leg. Calling lies truth doesn’t make them truth.

But, well, when you refuse to think, someone else will determine your thoughts for you. Machiavelli said, “One who deceives will always find those who allow themselves to be deceived.” That’s for sure. You are nothing but just the tools in neo-Communist subversion against America.

One doesn’t need to be Sherlock Holmes, for example, to figure out who spray painted that swastika at EMU. This was most likely what I would call publicity stunt, plain and simple. Such hoax “hate crime” — especially swastikas on university campuses — very often turn out to be an inside job. If notorious Eugene anarchists, who stand now behind anti-Pacifica protestors, could trash downtown Seattle in 1999 (in what they proudly called “Battle in Seattle”), so to scribble some swastika on the floor (to make it look like hate crime and then blame Pacifica) is not a big deal to them. Those anarchist criminals were throwing rocks at police officers here in Eugene numerous times. In 1999, I remember, they used to call themselves Black Bloc, now they go as Black Tea Society. As there is a saying in my native Lithuania, “it’s just the same girl in a different dress”...

The same, of course, can be said about all neo-commies. As on Ronald Radosh’s book cover, the *Old Left, the New Left and the Leftover Left*.

Well, I started to wonder a while ago why is it that when people want to describe, let's say, particularly evil individuals or regimes, they use the terms "Nazi" or "Fascist" but never (or almost never) "Communist"?

Given the amount the human suffering Communists have caused: tens of millions killed in China; tens of millions in the Soviet Union; almost one-third of all Cambodians; the decimation of Tibetan culture; total enslavement of North Koreans; and much more — why is "Communist" so much less a term of revulsion than "Nazi"?

Che Guevara T-shirts are popular, yet there are no Heinrich Himmler T-shirts.

Why?

Nowadays it seems cool to be anti-fascist, but not cool at all to be anti-communist.

Why?

This question is of vital significance. Without moral clarity, humanity has little chance of avoiding a dark future.

Here, then, are few reasons, few explanations that I heard:

1. Communists murdered their own people; the Nazis murdered others. Under Mao about 70 million people died in China — virtually all of them Chinese. Likewise, the approximately 60 million people that Communists in Russia had killed were nearly all Russians. Those who were not Russians, but Ukrainians or Lithuanians, for example, still, we all were living in Soviet prison of nations that was called USSR.

The Nazis, on the other hand, killed very few fellow Germans. Their victims were mainly Jews.

So, "world opinion" — that vapid amoral concept — deems the murder of members of one's group far less noteworthy than the murder of outsiders.

2. Communism supposedly is based on lovely sounding theories; Nazism is based on heinous sounding theories.

Well, people, among whom are the people who write history, are seduced by words — so much so that deeds are deemed considerably less significant.

Communism won, Nazism lost. And the winners write history.

As George Orwell famously said in his book for having which my friend spent two years in prison, "Who controls the past controls the future and who controls the present controls the past."

3. There is, simply put, widespread ignorance of communist atrocities compared to those of the Nazis. Whereas, both right and left usually loathe Nazism and teach its evil history, the left dominates the teaching profession today, and

therefore almost no one teaches about communist atrocities. Check your university's courses and see how many classes are given on communist totalitarianism or mass murder compared to the number of classes about the Holocaust.

4. Finally, in the view of the left, the last "good war" America fought was World War II, the war against Japan and Germany. The left does not regard America's wars against communist regimes as good wars. The war against Vietnamese communism is regarded as immoral and the war against Korean (and Chinese) communism is simply ignored.

Good war???

There was as much danger or threat from Japan or Germany to the United States as there supposedly is now from Pacifica Forum to UO students.

The bottom line is that by fighting that "good" war the United States helped communism, basically saved communism in that war and placed us under communist terror for half a century.

Good war???

How about bombings and destruction of Dresden, Hamburg, Tokyo, Hiroshima, Nagasaki?!

Good war???

How about massive war crimes committed by the victorious Red Army?

How about the ordeal of countless German women at the hands of Soviet troops, who raped their way across Germany. Their ordeal has been revealed thanks to British historian Antony Beevor, whose book *Berlin: The Downfall 1945* described vividly the horrific suffering of an estimated two million German women and girls who were gang-raped by drunken Soviet soldiers. The newspaper *Die Welt* described this book as "an epic shock." [Kate Connolly, "German Rape Victims Find a Voice at Last," *The Observer*, June 23, 2002, <<http://observer.guardian.co.uk/europe/story/0,11363,742434,00.html>>]

Beevor describes, for example, how Natalya Gesse, a close friend of the scientist Andrei Sakharov, observed the Red Army in action in 1945 as a Soviet war correspondent. She said: "The Russian soldiers were raping every German female from eight to eighty. It was an army of rapists." [Antony Beevor, "They Raped Every German Female from Eight to 80," *The Guardian*, May 1, 2002, p. 6, <<http://www.guardian.co.uk/books/2002/may/01/news.features11>>]

That much for supposedly "good" war.

It is estimated that from 1917 to 1989 well over 100 million people were murdered in the name of Communism.

I'm speaking not only about Russia. There was also Mao's "Great Leap Forward" murdering tens of millions of Chinese people. There was the Khmer Rouge's massacre of one third of the entire Cambodian population.

Communist mass murder not only predated the Nazi variety but far surpassed it. Wherever there was Communism, there was repression, torture, and mass murder.

The *Black Book of Communism: Crimes, Terror, Repression* is a book which describes a history of crimes committed by Communist regimes, including genocides, extrajudicial executions, deportations, and artificial famines. This book was originally published in 1997 in France.

Many met their demise through particularly barbaric acts. The *Black Book* details, for example, how it was done in China: "The whole people were invited to public trials of 'counterrevolutionaries,' who almost invariably were condemned to death. Everyone participated in the executions, shouting out 'kill, kill' to the Red Guards whose task it was to cut victims into pieces. Sometimes the pieces were cooked and eaten, or force-fed to members of the victim's family who were still alive and looking on." [Stéphane Courtois, et al., *The Black Book of Communism: Crimes, Terror, Repression* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1999), pp. 470-1.]

But, no, most did not die so graphically. A bullet to the back of the head, the hangman's noose, and deliberate starvation were each far more common — and efficient — methods of murder.

To create the socialist future, the Communists targeted whole social categories as enemies of the revolution — regardless of individual attitudes or acts — and targeted them for liquidation.

Grigory Zinoviev, one of the leaders of Bolsheviks in Russia, declared in September 1918: "To dispose of our enemies, we will have to create our own socialist terror. For this we will have to train 90 million of the 100 million Russians and have them all on our side. We have nothing to say to the other 10 million; we'll have to get rid of them." [*Severnaya kommuna*, no. 109 (September 19, 1918), p. 2, quoted in George Leggett, *The Cheka: Lenin's Political Police* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1981), p. 114 and in Stéphane Courtois, et al., *The Black Book of Communism: Crimes, Terror, Repression* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1999), pp. 75-6]

And, well, since I mentioned Zinoviev, who was Jewish, I think here it would be perhaps the right time and place to also go on a short trip in Pacifica's time machine, not as far back as Soviet times, but back to just three years ago, when all this fuss with Pacifica started and the Anti-Hate Task Force was formed

in Eugene, as a response to my lectures. I was accused then by them of “singling out” Jews and blaming the Jews for Communism. Pacifica existed by then for over ten years, since 1993 and nobody really cared about it, until my lectures in 2006. So, I just want to reflect now a little bit and spend some time on explaining what the purpose of those eight lectures was.

Here is another book, *The Jewish Century* (published by Princeton University Press). It has been called “a passionate and brilliant tour de force” and “an extraordinary book with continual surprises” about the history of the Jews. [Yuri Slezkine, *The Jewish Century* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2004), back cover]

Daniel Boyarin, Professor of Talmudic Culture in the Department of Near Eastern Studies at Berkeley, calls this book “a brilliant addition to Jewish studies” and says that “it provides the best explanation I know of anti-Semitism.” [Russell Schoch, “A Conversation with Yuri Slezkine,” November 7, 2004, <<http://www.16beavergroup.org/mtarchive/archives/001325.php>>]

In one interview book’s author professor Yuri Slezkine (who teaches also at Berkeley) said following: “If you think of anti-Semitism as a mysterious epidemic, then it’s hard to know what to do about it. When you feel you understand what brings it about, then it becomes more intelligible. And less dangerous.” [Russell Schoch, “A Conversation with Yuri Slezkine,” November 7, 2004, <<http://www.16beavergroup.org/mtarchive/archives/001325.php>>]

For example, how many of you are aware of the roots of Adolf Hitler’s anti-Semitism? Well, when communist regime — the Bavarian Soviet Republic — was declared in Munich, in 1919, the revolutionaries, in a desperate attempt to avert defeat, took hostages, most of them civilians. Many of those hostages were killed. Adolf Hitler, still serving as a corporal in the Second Bavarian Infantry Regiment, was reportedly among those few who through some miracle managed to avoid being taken and murdered. And, as celebrated Jewish British historian Sir Martin Gilbert, in his book *The Jews in the Twentieth Century*, says: “Hitler, who might so easily have been a victim of the Red Terror, saw in the Jewish leadership of the revolution proof of the destructive, anti-patriotic nature of Jewry.” [Martin Gilbert, *The Jews in the Twentieth Century* (New York: Schocken Books, 2001), p. 107.] And, only after that, in 1923-24, Hitler wrote his book *Mein Kampf*.

One interviewer asked professor Slezkine:

You write that Jews were important members of both the secret police and those who ran the gulag. This was news to me.

The fact was not known to me when I was growing up in the Soviet Union. Most people found out about it when they read Solzhenitsyn's *The Gulag Archipelago*. He didn't make a point of it at the time, but he talks about the people who were running the White Sea Canal labor camps, and they were virtually all ethnic Jews.

What was your reaction?

Mostly surprise, because it seemed so incongruous to those of us who thought of Jews as the primary victims and primary opponents of the Soviet regime. But later I discovered that the role of communism in modern Jewish history was tremendously important. I don't think you can understand modern Jewish history without considering the Russian Revolution or understand communism without considering the role of the Jews. [Russell Schoch, "A Conversation with Yuri Slezkine," November 7, 2004, <<http://www.16beavergroup.org/mtarchive/archives/001325.php>>]

My last Pacifica lecture on Jewish history in Russia was, as far as I remember, in November of 2006, and then, in December, there was an article in *Yedioth Aharonot* — the most widely-read daily newspaper in Israel (that article is still available on Ynetnews which is the English-language sister-site to Ynet, Israel's largest and most popular news website.)

"We mustn't forget that some of the greatest murderers of modern times were Jewish," says its author Sever Plocker, who is deputy editor-in chief of *Yedioth Aharonot*.

Here are few other tidbits from that explosive article:

"Many Jews sold their soul to the devil of the Communist revolution and have blood on their hands for eternity."

"Turns out that Jews too, when they become captivated by messianic ideology, can become great murderers, among the greatest known by modern history."

"I find it unacceptable that a person will be considered a member of the Jewish people when he does great things, but not considered part of our people when he does amazingly despicable things."

"Even if we deny it, we cannot escape the Jewishness of 'our hangmen' ..."

These were all quotes from Israeli newspaper, *Yedioth Aharonot*. [Sever Plocker, "Stalin's Jews," December 21, 2006, *Ynetnews*,

<<http://www.ynetnews.com/Ext/Comp/ArticleLayout/CdaArticlePrintPreview/1,2506,L-3342999,00.html>>]

That's the point! So, what I said during my lectures three years ago was, no, not some "let's blame the Jews for the plague" or religiously-motivated "confess, witch" sort of accusations. It was simply a chronological account of historical facts, with very little commentary. Further, I never said that all Jews were Communists or all Communists were Jews.

No, that would be just as nonsense as saying that all Jews support feminism or sexual perversities which would be great insult to Hasidic Jews who, along with Amish people, are perhaps the most family oriented people on planet earth.

But we should always also remember the scathing truth of what Chief Rabbi of Moscow said to Leon Trotsky (born Bronstein): "It's the Trotskys who make revolutions, and it's the Bronsteins who pay the price." [Quoted in Dennis Prager, "The Problem of the Radical, Non-Jewish Jew," FrontPageMagazine.com, February 27, 2007, <<http://97.74.65.51/readArticle.aspx?ARTID=25383>>]

My topic of Jewish history in Russia was important because: There is a lot of hatred, very intense hatred, for the Jews in Russia today. And, I think, it's quite important for you, Americans, especially Jewish-Americans, to learn about it, to know why.

Because of that ant-Semitic hatred, many Jews escaped from Russia to Israel. Many of those newcomers became settlers in occupied territories. This complicates finding peaceful solution in Middle East. Moreover, some of those immigrants from Russia in Israel are now very active in Israeli extremist politics.

Through my involvement in Eurasia movement, based in Russia, I got acquainted, for example, with Avigdor Eskin who became famous in 1995 when he allegedly laid something called a Palsa diNura death curse on Israeli Prime Minister Yitzak Rabin. The Palsa diNura is believed generally to "work" within thirty days, and it was thirty-two days after Eskin's curse that Rabin was assassinated. As a result, in 1997 Eskin was sentenced to prison for incitement. Well, it was later revealed that the Torah scrolls used in the ceremony were in fact empty and had been staged for the cameras. Another Israeli acquaintance of mine that I also got to know through Eurasia movement was Avraham Shmulevich. In Israel they call him "rabbi with uzi gun" and he is a leader of radical Zionist organization Bead Artseinu. He calls himself Hyperzionist and says that Israel must cover the entire Middle East from the Nile to the Euphrates. Both these guys came from Russia and I can tell you that after I was in touch with them (mostly

through e-mails) for quite some time I finally realized that, well, they are perhaps too extreme even for my tastes...

Another Avigdor — more mainstream, but still quite extremist — is foreign minister Avigdor Lieberman who came to Israel from Soviet republic of Moldova.

Some Israeli Jews who came from Russia even have an influence on American politicians. Good example is Nathan Sharansky who was sort of like mentor to President Bush.

Now, *it is* easy to imagine what most Jews feel when they hear names Hitler, Eichmann, Himmler. And I absolutely agree that *it is* indeed a very insulting thing to shout Sieg Heil into face of a Jewish rabbi...

So, well, to understand and explain anti-Semitism in today's Russia you have to know that names such as Trotsky, Zinoviev, Sverdlov, Uritsky, Yagoda, Aron Solts, Yakov Rappoport, Lazar Kogan, Matvei Berman, Naftaly Frenkel (and dozens of others!) still also strike terror in the hearts of the Russians. The role of Lazar Kaganovitch in the extermination of Ukrainians through artificial famine, which became known as Holodomor (translation would be "murder by hunger"), made him, as *Yedioth Aharonot* article calls, yes, one of the "greatest murderers of modern times".

But how often do you hear this word — Holodomor? Go to Google news and type "holocaust" and you will instantly get hundreds (if not thousands) of articles. Then try "holodomor"... and get nothing. Isn't it by any chance holodomor denial?

Everybody heard and knows about Anne Frank but do you know of the Ukrainian, Russian young girls, starting with the Czar's daughters, those four innocent beautiful princesses murdered in the most vile way by the Bolsheviks?

What about my little cousin who was born and died in Siberia in exile before I myself was even born? I never met him and don't even know where his grave is, somewhere in Siberia. No one cares about these tragedies. Can I expect high-school students to stage plays about my little cousin, how he lived and died? I don't think so. But a few years ago I was surprised to find out that there were high-school plays about Anne Frank simultaneously in South Eugene High, in Junction City, Cottage Grove, and who knows where else, all just in this small area where we live.

Russian writer Dostoevsky focused on the injustice and tragedy of just one child's tear in his famous articulation of the problem of evil... But it seems that today some tears are considered more tragic than others, and that's certainly true about Jewish tears... All we hear now is Holocaust, Holocaust, and more

Holocaust. But at the same time rivers, oceans of tears due to the communist terror, the murdering of millions of people, are almost ignored...

So, one should wonder why this is? Why everyone heard and knows of Holocaust, while almost no one heard of Holodomor?

Well, there were actually quite a few prominent Jewish historians, including the already mentioned Yuri Slezkine, who openly did acknowledged disproportionate Jewish involvement in Russian communism, especially in its secret police Cheka (later KGB).

“As a Jew,” notes, for example, Yevgenia Albats in her book about the history of the KGB, “I’m interested in another question entirely: Why were there so many Jews among the NKVD-MGB investigators — including many of the most terrible? It’s a painful question for me, but I cannot evade it.” [Yevgenia Albats, *The State Within a State: The KGB and Its Hold on Russia — Past, Present, and Future* (New York: Farrar Strauss Giroux, 1994), p. 147.]

Jewish historian Leonard Schapiro remarks that “it is difficult to suggest a satisfactory reason for the prevalence of Jews in the Cheka . . . Anyone who had the misfortune to fall into the hands of Cheka stood a very good chance of finding himself confronted with, and very possibly shot by, a Jewish investigator.” [Leonard Schapiro, “The Role of Jews in the Russian Revolutionary Movement,” *The Slavonic and East European Review*, University of London, 1961-62, vol. 40, p. 165.]

Yes, Jews, indeed, were especially prominent in the dreaded Cheka, or communist secret police, where, in words of Professor Albert Lindemann, “the Jewish revolutionary became visible in a terrifying form.” [Albert S. Lindemann, *Esau’s Tears: Modern Anti-Semitism and the Rise of the Jews* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1997), p. 429.]

Historian Salo Baron also has noted that an immensely “disproportionate number of Jews joined the new Soviet secret service.” [Salo W. Baron, *The Russian Jews under Tsars and Soviets* (New York: Macmillan, 1964), p. 203.]

Another Jewish historian Benjamin Ginsberg discusses the general preponderance of Jews among the Soviet police agencies in his 1994 book *The Fatal Embrace: Jews and the State*: “During the 1920s and 1930s, Jews were a major element in the secret police and other Soviet security forces.” “From the beginning,” writes Ginsberg, “the Soviet state relied upon military, police, and security services to sustain itself, and Jews were active in these agencies. . . . Jews . . . staffed and directed the coercive instruments upon which the state relied to control its citizens.” [Benjamin Ginsberg, *The Fatal Embrace: Jews and the State* (Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 1994), p. 30.]

Norman Cantor, professor of history at New York University, also provides confirmation of Jewish prominence in communist terror apparatus: “The founders of the Soviet secret police (later KGB), headquartered in Lubyanka prison in Moscow, were mostly Jews.”

Well, here is a full paragraph from his chapter titled “Stalin’s Jews”, in book *The Jewish Experience: An Illustrated History of Jewish Culture & Society*, published in 1996:

“During the heyday of the Cold War, American Jewish publicists spent a lot of time denying that — as 1930s anti-Semites claimed — Jews played a disproportionately important role in Soviet and world Communism. The truth is until the early 1950s Jews did play such a role, and there is nothing to be ashamed of. In time, Jews will learn to take pride in the record of the Jewish Communists in the Soviet Union and elsewhere.” [Norman F. Cantor, “Stalin’s Jews”, in *The Jewish Experience: An Illustrated History of Jewish Culture & Society* (Castle Books, 1996), p. 364.]

Well, well, well... What can I say...

And those Chekists, oh, they liked torturing their victims. The priests in Kherson were crucified. Archbishop Andronnikov in Perm had his eyes poked out and his ears and nose cut off. There were Chekists who cut open their victim’s stomach, pulled out a length of small intestine, nailed it to a telegraph pole, and with a whip forced the victim to run circles around the pole until the whole intestine became unraveled. Eyes of church dignitaries were poked out, their tongues cut off, and they were buried alive. The bishop of Voronezh was boiled alive in a big pot, after which monks, revolvers at their heads, were forced to drink. In Kharkov people were scalped. In Tsaritsyn and Kamyshin hands were amputated with a saw. In Poltava and Kremchug the victims were impaled. In Odessa they were roasted alive in ovens or ripped to pieces. In Kiev, victims were placed in coffins with decomposing bodies and buried alive. In Voronezh torture victims were put into barrels in which nails were hammered to stick out on the inside, then the barrels were set rolling. The Cheka often arrested whole families and tortured the children before the eyes of their parents, and the wives before their husbands.

That’s communism in action!

These gut-wrenching examples that I just read were compiled mainly from Sergey Melgunov’s book, *The Red Terror in Russia* (published in 1975) and George Leggett’s book *The Cheka: Lenin’s Political Police* (published in 1981),

but, as professor Slezkine said in his interview that I already quoted from, most people found out about it when they read Solzhenitsyn's *The Gulag Archipelago*.

No book did more to publicize the crimes of communism than Solzhenitsyn's monumental *The Gulag Archipelago*, smuggled out of the Soviet Union in the early 1970s. Based on underground literature and survivors' memoirs, it constructed a history of the Gulag prison camps system.

George F. Kennan, perhaps the most influential of U.S. diplomats, called *The Gulag Archipelago*, "the most powerful single indictment of a political regime ever to be levied in modern times." ["Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn: Speaking Truth to Power," *The Economist*, August 7, 2008.]

In an Interview with German weekly *Die Zeit* British historian Orlando Figes says: "*The Gulag Archipelago spoke for a whole nation and was the voice of all those who suffered.*" ["Held des Westens," *Die Zeit*, August 7, 2008.]

Solzhenitsyn used the research of professor of statistics Ivan Kurganov who had access to secret government files and who estimated that between 1918 and 1959, around 66 million died at the hands of the Communist rulers of Russia. [22] [John Conway O'Brien, "Aleksandr I. Solzhenitsyn and the Evils of Soviet Communism," *International Journal of Social Economics*, Vol. 21, Issue 2/3/4, 1994, pp. 14-30.] That's over ten times the number of Jews claimed to have been slain in Nazi concentration camps!

Solzhenitsyn talks about the people who were running the labor camps, and they were virtually, yes, all ethnic Jews. Solzhenitsyn described them as "six hired murderers each of whom accounted for thirty thousand lives: Firin-Berman-Frenkel-Kogan-Rappoport-Zhuk." [Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn, *The Gulag Archipelago: 1918-1956: An Experiment in Literary Investigation*. Abridged edition (New York: Harper & Row, 1985), p. 208.]

If you don't believe me, just read Solzhenitsyn's book and see for yourself. You can start reading at least from around page 193, chapter 3 of part 3 ("The Archipelago Metastasizes") and go on till around page 208. There are even photos of all Gulag founding-fathers on page 209 (other editions may have photos on different pages, but this one is abridged edition published in 1985) and you can see their photos. These were six top administrators of the Gulag — greatest killing machine in the history of the world.

Now, the precise number of Ukrainians murdered by Lazar Kaganovich's custom-made famine — Holodomor — and Cheka firing squads remains unknown to this day. The KGB's archives, and recent work by Russian and Ukrainian historians, show at least seven million died, almost half of them children. Other millions died from the killings and sickness as a result of the deportations.

Ukrainian historians put the figure at nine million, or higher. Twenty-five percent of Ukraine's population was exterminated! Millions of victims!

Alexander Solzhenitsyn, in a speech in Washington, D.C. in 1975 had this to say of the Soviet system:

“This was a system which, in time of peace, artificially created a famine causing SIX MILLION PERSONS to die in the Ukraine between 1932 and 1933. They died on the very threshold of Europe. And Europe didn't even notice it. The world didn't even notice it. SIX MILLION PERSONS!” [Alexander Solzhenitsyn *Speaks to the West* (London: Bodley Head, 1978), p. 16.]

Well, I guess, this six-million figure is perhaps the “politically-incorrect” six million, is it?

By the way, Lazar Kaganovich, chief butcher of the Ukrainians, ordered and supervised not only the deaths of millions of people but also the wholesale destruction of Christian monuments and churches in Russia, including the great Cathedral of Christ the Saviour in Moscow. Standing amid the rubble of the cathedral, Kaganovich proclaimed, “Mother Russia is cast down. We have ripped away her skirts.” [Quoted in *New York Times*, September 26, 1995.]

So, isn't it easier to understand now why there is so much anti-Semitism in Russia?

When three years ago I talked about Jewish history I based everything I said then on facts and only facts. So, if you wanted to argue against those facts that I provided in my lectures, you were (and are still) welcome to do that.

But, no, all you keep saying is that I have no right at all to speak about Jews, that it should be taboo.

No one ever even tried to question or dispute anything of what I actually said.

Well, there was one person who seemed to accept my challenge to debate what was said during those lectures. As he promised, he was willing to prove that I was a liar. That person was Paul Bessemer, who is now executive director of Oregon Hillel. During one of Pacifica's meetings in early 2007, he said he would need one month to prepare a rebuttal to my lectures, and he claimed that he *could* expose me as a liar. Since I was at that time ready to leave for Europe and I expected to be there for at least a couple months, I told him that he would have not one, but three months to prepare for our duel. And, well, he agreed. In fact, his promise had been filmed on video... I must say that I expected it to be quite

interesting, since I do consider Mr. Bessemer to be a quite well rounded, intelligent individual. But, after I came back to Eugene three months later, nothing happened. He, for some reason, chickened out, and never mentioned again what he had promised.

So, I repeat, nobody dared to challenge the material that I presented. The only thing I keep on hearing is how *I* dare to even speak on those topics, and that I supposedly cherry-pick facts and quotes that fit my purpose. Well, I was trained as a historian and I have a degree from one of the oldest universities in Europe. I also have a degree and the training of a writer, journalist. Therefore I do believe that I know a thing or two of how to do research and present facts.

You are not interested in checking the details or questioning any of the material I presented. No, you prefer to simply label me and all us at Pacifica “bigots,” “haters,” “nazis,” “anti-Semites,” and so on. That’s because the obvious intent of you, people, is to discourage us from learning the truth. The so-called “hate speech” is today anything *you* hate to hear. Truth is “hate” to those who hate the truth. Well, then the truth is indeed anti-Semitic... But the question is not whether I am anti-Semitic. The question is only whether I am right.

This word — anti-Semitism — today is, well, even the mention of the word “Jew” is shunned unless used in a most favorable and positive context. The Jewish attitude is that you are either for them or against them. Being neutral, sitting on the fence, quoting books, providing facts, letting everyone have their say — no, no, no... If you are neutral on the Jewish issue then Jews still consider you to be either anti-Semitic or potentially anti-Semitic.

So, the hot issue here is our right to free speech and, yes, the bottom line is that everybody and anybody must be able to say anything about everything, anyone, including Jews, or Muslims, or Buddhists, or Zoroastrians, or Lithuanian pagans, for that matter... This is what truly free speech is all about.

I lived in different system, for 29 years. That was the communist regime, and I know very well how it can be when people like Comrade Michael Williams have their way. The KGB way.

Well, now let’s turn to communism in America.

As FBI Director J. Edgar Hoover said, in March 1947 there were only about one million Americans registered to vote with the Communist Party, but that was more than there were in Russia in 1917. [J. Edgar Hoover, House Committee on Un-American Activities, “Investigation of Un-American Activities and Propaganda,” March 26, 1947, pp. 37, 43. Quoted in Arthur Herman, *Joseph McCarthy: Reexamining the Life and Legacy of America’s Most Hated Senator* (New York: Free Press, 2000), p. 57.]

Communism always was (and still is) a criminal conspiracy rather than a legitimate, if unpopular, political ideology. Here and everywhere.

Senator Joseph McCarthy called it “a conspiracy on a scale so immense as to dwarf any previous such venture in the history of man. A conspiracy of infamy so black that, when it is finally exposed, its principals shall be forever deserving of the maledictions of all honest men.” [“Joseph McCarthy Speech, U.S. Senate, June 14, 1951,” Congressional Record, vol. 97, part 5, p. 6602. Quoted in John Earl Haynes and Harvey Klehr, *Venona: Decoding Soviet Espionage in America* (New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 2002), p. 16, n. 10.]

For that, with a campaign of lies, commies and their fellow travelers have turned McCarthy into, using his own words, the object “forever deserving of the maledictions of all honest men.”

The myth of “McCarthyism” is the greatest Orwellian fraud of our times. It has been pounded into people that the so-called “Red Scare” was a weird psychological obsession and that McCarthy was the evil genius behind it.

Denouncing McCarthy is the left establishment’s loyalty oath. A professor who puts in a kind word for McCarthy would end his career — or spend the rest of it explaining himself.

Well, leftists can’t persuade, they can only harrumph. But they write the history books. Like all historical myths, arrogant and powerful institutions of leftism distorted the truth about Senator McCarthy through sheer malice.

Schoolchildren are taught in a major American textbook, *A History of US*, that McCarthy “was a liar. Not your ordinary smalltime fibber. No, Senator Joseph McCarthy was an enormous, outrageous, beyond-belief liar.” [Joy Hakim, *A History of US*, vol. 10 (New York: Oxford University Press Children’s Books, 1999). Cited in Ann Coulter, *Treason: Liberal Treachery from the Cold War to the War on Terrorism* (New York: Crown Forum, 2003), pp. 95-6.]

The image of McCarthy riding roughshod over civil liberties and terrifying small children is difficult to square with the fact that the public loved him. In the words of historian David Oshinsky, McCarthy’s campaign was “alarmingly popular.” [David M. Oshinsky, “Cranky Integrity on the Left,” *New York Times*, August 27, 1989.]

All organs of leftist opinion tenaciously opposed McCarthy. And yet somehow, no matter how bellicose their slanders, McCarthy’s popularity continued to skyrocket. The more he railed against Communists, the higher his approval ratings soared. At the height of the left’s counterattack against McCarthy, just months away from a Senate censure, Americans told pollsters they approved of the job he was doing by 50 to 29 percent. Only Jews opposed McCarthy by 82 to 3

percent. [Michael Paul Rogin, *The Intellectuals and McCarthy* (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1967), p. 238.]

As Michael Paul Rogin writes in book *The Intellectuals and McCarthy*, “This man, terribly dangerous in the eyes of sophisticated observers of American politics, had obtained the backing of millions of American people.” [Michael Paul Rogin, *The Intellectuals and McCarthy* (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1967), p. 232.]

Yes, that Wisconsin farm boy was true American hero! I remember when we were in Soviet schools and when we heard about U.S. Senator Joseph McCarthy, always being scorned by Communist propaganda, we greatly admired him and his work. The same went later for President Ronald Reagan, we greatly admired him for his fight against the evil empire. I remember I used to have a portrait of him on my desk at home.

By January 1953, liberal columnist Jack Anderson admitted sadly, “We had used up almost our entire bag of tricks against McCarthy, without marked effect.” [Jack Anderson and James Boyd, *Confessions of a Muckraker* (New York: Random House, 1979), p. 260.]

But the wolf pack had caught the scent. The media would not relent. The unending attacks finally wore down McCarthy. As Roy Cohn said, “He had taken more punishment than a normal man could be expected to absorb. . . . Never have so much vituperation and defamation been directed toward a person in public life.” [Roy Cohn, *McCarthy* (New York: New American Library, 1968), p. 243.]

At the age of forty-eight Senator McCarthy died broken and defamed. The *New York Times* did not mention McCarthy’s death in an editorial. Times editor Charles Merz said, “Why dignify the bastard; let him pass from the scene without more attention.” [Harrison Salisbury, *Without Fear or Favor* (New York: New York Times Books, 1980), p. 470.]

History textbooks ritualistically include the demonstrably false assertion that McCarthy “did not discover a single Communist anywhere.” [Thomas C. Reeves, *The Life and Times of Joe McCarthy* (New York: Madison Books, 1997), p. xi.]

A typical account of the McCarthy era is given in the 1978 book *The Great Fear* by David Caute. As the title suggests, the book portrays a period much like Stalin’s “Great Terror,” only worse. David Caute writes, “There is no documentation in the public record of a direct connection between the American Communist Party and espionage during the entire postwar period.” [David Caute, *The Great Fear: The Anti-Communist Purge Under Truman and Eisenhower* (New York: Simon and Schuster, 1978), p. 54.]

That’s ridiculous!

At the time, everyone knew leftists were lying. But after a half-century of mythmaking, it would be Judgment Day for commies on July 11, 1995. On that day, the U.S. government released a cache of Soviet cables that had been decoded during the Cold War in a top-secret undertaking known as the Venona Project. The cables proved the overwhelming truth of McCarthy's charges. It was a mind-boggling discovery. And, after the collapse of the USSR, there were KGB archives opened for researchers!

Even before Soviet cables and documents found in KGB archives proved the existence of a vast KGB-run espionage network in America, there was lots of evidence already. There were, for example, the detailed accounts given in sworn testimony by various ex-Communists like, for example, Whittaker Chambers, Elizabeth Bentley, and Louis Budenz.

It turned out that, all along, there was also evidence in the form of decrypted Soviet cables to their agents in America. Though not revealed for half a century, the U.S. government had broken the Soviet cable code beginning in the forties. The Soviets used a code that was, in theory, unbreakable. But the Americans had cracked it. And when the Venona cryptographers read the Soviet cables they discovered that the U.S. government was teeming with agents of Moscow. Stalin's handmaidens held strategic positions at the White House, the State Department, the War Department, the Office of Strategic Services, and the Treasury Department.

Only a small number of the intercepted Soviet cables have been decoded. But even that much proves McCarthy was absolutely right in his paramount charge: The United States had a major Communist infestation problem. It can now be said that McCarthy's gravest error was in underestimating the problem of Communist subversion.

He desperately tried to stop it, but to no avail. And then, well, then the 1960s arrived...

Soviet spies in America were not a figment of right-wing imaginations. No, McCarthy was not tilting at windmills. He was tilting at an authentic Communist conspiracy. . .

As John Earl Haynes and Harvey Klehr wrote in their book *Venona: Decoding Soviet Espionage in America*, the U.S. Communist Party was "a fifth column working inside and against the United States in the Cold War." [John Earl Haynes and Harvey Klehr, *Venona: Decoding Soviet Espionage in America* (New Haven, Conn.: Yale University Press, 2002), p. 7.]

Top officials identified as Communist agents by the deciphered cables include Alger Hiss, for example, the State Department official who presided as the first secretary-general of the United Nations at its founding, and Harry Dexter

White, the assistant secretary of the treasury who later served as the director of the International Monetary Fund.

The Soviet cables indisputably proved the guilt of the left's favorite "Red Scare" martyrs — Julius and Ethel Rosenberg. Appropriately, the Soviet's code name for Communist spy Julius Rosenberg was "Liberal."

Now, the Soviet subsidies to American commies turned out to have been quite large and to have lasted until the eve of the USSR's collapse. In book *The Soviet World of American Communism* there are reproduced, for example, an accounting sheet of the first Soviet subsidies handed over to the American Communist party in 1919, as well as a handwritten and signed receipt from Gus Hall, dated 1988, when he accepted a bag containing \$3,000,000 in cash from a Soviet KGB officer and a copy of the KGB memo to the International Department of the Soviet Communist Party confirming that the \$3,000,000 had been delivered to the CPUSA in accordance with the orders of the Soviet leadership. [Harvey Klehr, John Earl Haynes, and Kyrill M. Anderson, *The Soviet World of American Communism* (New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1998), pp. 158-9]

Details of an astounding project carried out by the Federal Bureau of Investigation also surfaced. Historian David Garrow in 1981 identified two senior figures in the CPUSA, brothers Morris and Jack Childs, as longtime informants for the FBI who had conveyed secret Soviet subsidies to the CPUSA. More information came to light in 1995 after journalist John Barron published book *Operation Solo: The FBI's Man in the Kremlin* using FBI material to show that the Childs brothers had been central figures in smuggling Soviet subsidies from Moscow to the United States from the late 1950s to the 1970s, accounting for more than \$28,000,000, all the while reporting on every transaction to the FBI. [David J. Garrow, *The FBI and Martin Luther King, Jr.: From "Solo" to Memphis* (New York: W. W. Norton, 1981); John Barron, *Operation Solo: The FBI's Man in the Kremlin* (Washington, D.C.: Regnery, 1995).]

By the way, here I have to also repeat that I stand by what was said a few years ago at Pacifica Forum about — yes, moral leper and Communist dupe — Michael King, who is better known as Martin Luther King, Jr. There is plenty of evidence now that quite a lot of his activity was funded by the KGB and he received Soviet money from his Communist handler Stanley Levison. Those are inescapable facts, and I have, by the way, on my computer documentary FBI files related to Levison. [This FBI file consists of security investigations of Stanley Levison from the 1950's through the early 1970's. Levison was a key advisor to Martin Luther King, Jr. <<http://foia.fbi.gov/foiaindex/levison.htm>>]

The Communists were not the victims of the Cold War. They were its villains.

The great irony of America's Communist Party is that it initially championed many of the institutions that were later used to dismantle it.

The House Committee on Un-American Activities was actually the creation of a far-left Democratic officeholder who would later become himself a spy of the Soviet Union. In 1934, Samuel Dickstein, a congressman from New York City, called for Congress to investigate the un-American activities of anti-Semites, fascists, and other assorted crypto-Nazis operating within the United States. The role of the consequent House investigative body, known as the "Dickstein Committee," was expanded at its namesake's request. As Texas Democrat Martin Dies gained control over the committee, he focused an increasing amount of attention on Communists. It was only then that the Communists objected to the House Committee on Un-American Activities, the very outfit their own fellow traveler was responsible for devising.

The Communist Party actively supported the Smith Act when it was enacted in the early 1940s, to investigate Nazis. Communists began to cry foul only when the Smith Act was later used against them.

Well, I must say that over the last fifty years, America has been conquered basically by the same evil force that early in 20th century took over Russia. And that force, yes, it has also pretty much same ideology which is Marxism!

That communist ideology has inflicted enormous damage on all the nations it came to dominate. It happened in Russia, in China, in Cuba, in North Korea, it is happening here. Right here, right now!

Communism was the great disease of 20th century, the disease that has left countless millions of people dead in Russia, in China, indeed around the world. It was and *is* the disease of Marxist ideology.

Antonio Gramsci said that the workers will never see their true class interests, as defined by Marxism, until they are freed from Western civilization, that they are blinded by culture to their true class interests, that a Communist revolution was impossible in the West, until culture could be destroyed.

The new battleground, reasoned Gramsci, must become the culture, starting with the traditional family and completely engulfing schools, media, entertainment, civic organizations, literature, science, history, and so on. All of these things must be radically transformed and the social and cultural order gradually turned upside-down.

Georg Lukács, whose book *History and Class Consciousness* had brought him recognition as the most important Marxist theorist, to rival Marx himself, also

theorized, just like Gramsci, that the greatest obstacle to the creation of a worldwide Communist “paradise” was Western civilization.

He famously asked in 1919: “Who will save us from Western Civilization?” [Quoted in Mihailo Marković, “The Critical Thought of Georg Lukács,” in Tom Rockmore, ed., *Lukács Today: Essays in Marxist Philosophy. Sovietica*, vol. 51, (Université de Fribourg. Ost-Europa Institut, Springer, 1988), p. 18.]

“I saw the revolutionary destruction of society as the one and only solution,” said Lukács. “A worldwide overturning of values cannot take place without the annihilation of the old values and the creation of new ones by the revolutionaries.” [Quoted in Michael Loewy, *Georg Lukács from Romanticism to Bolshevism* (London: NLB, 1979), p. 93.]

That objective, established as neo-Marxists’ goal right at the beginning, has never changed.

Gramsci famously laid out a whole strategy for destroying Western civilization, one that has proven all too successful.

Rather than seize power first, as they did in Russia, and then impose a cultural revolution from above, Gramsci argued, neo-Communists in the West must first change the society; then power would fall into their laps like ripened fruit. But to change the society would require a “long march through the institutions” -- the arts, media, schools, colleges, every institution that could influence the culture. He realized that in order to achieve a communist victory, all institutions would have to be infiltrated and subverted. One by one, each had to be captured and converted and politicized into an agency of revolution. Then the people could be slowly educated to understand and even welcome the revolution.

That “long march through the institutions” is what America has experienced, especially since the 1960s.

On the cover of his 1970 runaway bestseller *The Greening of America*, the manifesto of the counterculture, author Charles Reich parroted Gramsci perfectly:

There is a revolution coming. It will not be like revolutions of the past. It will originate with the individual and with culture, and it will change the political structure only as its final act. It will not require violence to succeed, and it cannot be successfully resisted with violence. It is now spreading with amazing rapidity, and already our laws, institutions, and social structure are changing in consequence... This is the revolution of the new generation. [Charles A. Reich, *The Greening of America* (New York: Bantam Books, 1971), front cover]

Gramsci's idea on how to make a communist revolution in a Western society has been proven correct.

Communist regime in Russia shook the world for seventy years, but ultimately that Soviet regime collapsed.

But the Gramscian revolution in the West rolls on, and, to this day, it continues to make converts.

By substituting racism, sexism, xenophobia, anti-Semitism, homophobia, and a host of other "isms" for the traditional Marxist bogey of capitalism, today's neo-commies ensured that Marxism would thrive long after the fall of the Iron Curtain.

They departed from orthodox Marxism, which argued that all of history was determined by who owned the means of production. Instead, they say now that history was determined by which groups, defined as men, women, races, religions, etc., had power or "dominance" over other groups. Certain groups, especially white males, of course, were labeled "oppressors," while other groups were defined as "victims." Victims were automatically good, oppressors bad, just by what group they came from, regardless of individual behavior.

As *New York Times* reporter Richard Bernstein made clear in his book on multiculturalism, *The Dictatorship of Virtue: Multiculturalism and the Battle for America's Future*, published in 1994, "the Marxist revolutionary process for the past several decades in America has centered on race and sex warfare rather than class warfare" as in earlier times.

This "quiet" revolution resulted in a mass conversion of Americans by dialectic stages of operant conditioning by words such as prejudice, discrimination, bigotry, racism, sexism, and anti-Semitism, that were designed to instill guilt, pity, shame, fear, anger and hatred in the Americans so that no one would dare oppose the social revolution without being exposed to uncontrollable rage, intimidation, and terror — this being a reflection of Karl Marx's dictum that "the nation must be taught to be terrified of itself..." [Karl Marx, "Letter to Ruge," in Lawrence S. Stepelevich, ed., *The Young Hegelians: An Anthology. Texts in German Philosophy* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983), p. 313.]

Most people in America today, for example, would rather be charged with pedophilia than racism. The former we are told, is merely an alternative life style choice and at worst, should be dealt with by a stern chat with a shrink. A charge of racism can produce a lifelong stigma and must be addressed early on with abject apologies to the offended race while deploring the cruel fate of having been born white.

In today's "politically correct" society it is perfectly acceptable to be proud to be black, proud to be Asian or Latino but as soon as you proclaim to be proud to be white you are immediately labeled a racist, a hate monger, a bigot.

Consider the student organization MEChA that stands for Movimiento Estudiantil Chicano de Aztlán, the Chicano Student movement of Aztlan. It boasts campus affiliates at more than 400 colleges and universities. The MEChA slogan is "Por la Raza todo. Fuera de La Raza nada." Translation: "For our race, everything. For those outside our race, nothing." ["El Plan Espiritual de Aztlán," <<http://www.panam.edu/orgs/MEChA/aztlan.html>> Cited in Patrick J. Buchanan, *The Death of the West: How Dying Populations and Immigrant Invasions Imperil Our Country and Civilization* (New York: St. Martin's Press, 2002), p. 130.] They make no bones about their racism. Not at all. MEChA calls for Mexicans to take over the American Southwest, regularly employs racially derogatory language against whites in its literature, and calls for Mexican Americans to show loyalty to Mexico and not the United States. Recently their conference was hosted by the University of Oregon. And just a couple of weeks ago there was a large banner by the EMU with greeting to their race — Welcome RAZA. Here I have a photo.

How about this kind of racism?

Well, our enemies, those today's neo-communist cultural revolutionaries, as they like to call themselves, "social engineers" do not balk at loudly and proudly voicing their intentions. They know and admit with a satanic certainty what they are doing.

Take, for example, the late Susan Sontag, who declared that "the white race is the cancer of human history." [*Partisan Review*, Winter 1967, p. 57.] No, she was never condemned for such a hateful, racist statement. She was, instead, always lauded by the media and positively received by academia. Rewrite that sentence with "black race" in place of "white race" and the passage would ... well, you know what I mean. . . . Had Sontag so savaged her fellow Jews, her career would have ended there. But her diatribe against the white race did not diminish her standing at all. Sontag subsequently won a MacArthur Foundation genius grant, and one recent survey found her the most respected intellectual of our time.

Moreover, many Americans and Europeans have come to accept Sontag's indictment of their civilization and have volunteered, pro bono, to assist the prosecution in making its case.

Then, there was another "social philosopher," Jacques Derrida, from France, famous for his bizarre theories on what he called "deconstructionism." He sought to "deconstruct" — that is, basically destroy — "the workings of strong nation-states . . . the rhetoric of nationalism, the politics of place, the metaphysics of

native land and native tongue.” [Quoted in John D. Caputo, *The Prayers and Tears of Jacques Derrida: Religion without Religion* (Bloomington: University of Indiana Press, 1997), p. 231.] Here, yes, “deconstruct” is simply a “politically correct” euphemism for “destroy.”

Now a certain Noel Ignatiev, who is a tenured professor at Harvard University, proclaims that “treason to whiteness is loyalty to humanity.” [*Race Traitor*, No.16, Winter 2005, <<http://racetractor.org/>>] At the least, Professor Ignatiev intends cultural and psychological terror against all whites. It is still unclear whether physical extermination is also part of the program. He actually did say that he and other such, as they call themselves, “new abolitionists” will not limit themselves to socially acceptable means of action and that they do not reject any means of attaining their goal.

Ignatiev does not believe his agenda is controversial at all. According to Ignatiev, “The key to solving the social problems of our age is to abolish the white race.” He even argues that “abolishing the white race” is “so desirable that some may find it hard to believe” that anyone other than “committed white supremacists” would oppose it. [“Abolish the White Race,” *Harvard Magazine*, September-October 2002, p. 30.] Thus he put white people on notice. If they oppose their abolition, then they are, well, “white supremacists.”

All these statements may seem just crazy to the casual reader or listener, but to anyone who has been paying attention to the anti-white, anti-Western civilization, anti-American, anti-European spirit of our age, it should be chilling. “Make no mistake about it,” says Professor Ignatiev, “we intend to keep bashing the dead white males, and the live ones, and the females too, until the social construct known as ‘the white race’ is destroyed—not ‘deconstructed’ but destroyed.” [“Abolish the White Race,” *Harvard Magazine*, September-October 2002, p. 30.] So, this brings us to a logical conclusion of Derrida’s theories. Ignatiev now openly calls for the “death of whiteness” with not a squeak of protest.

That’s how it is, right here and right now.

They realize that the road to cultural (and eventually political) hegemony was through psychological conditioning, not philosophical argument. America’s children could be conditioned at school to reject their parents’ social and moral beliefs as racist, sexist, homophobic, and conditioned to embrace a new morality.

They openly stated that whether children learned facts or skills at school was less important than that they graduate conditioned to display the correct attitudes.

Well, for Lenin it was “Give me your four-year-olds, and in a generation I will build a Socialist state.” [Quoted in Ann Coulter, *Godless: The Church of Liberalism* (New York: Crown Forum, 2006), p. 152.]

As the '60s leader Jerry Rubin admitted in one of his articles: "We are stealing the youth of America right out of the kindergartens and elementary schools." [Jerry Rubin, "An Emergency Letter to My Brothers and Sisters in the Movement," *The New York Review of Books*, February 13, 1969, p. 27. Cited in Roger Kimball, *The Long March: How the Cultural Revolution of the 1960s Changed America* (San Francisco: Encounter Books, 2000), p. 241.]

"We'll get you through your children," boasted pedophile poet Allen Ginsberg. [Norman Podhoretz, "My War with Allen Ginsberg," *Commentary*, August 1997.]

"Education is a weapon whose effects depend on who holds it in his hands and at whom it is aimed," said Joseph Stalin. [Quoted in Tammy Bruce, *The Death of Right and Wrong: Exposing the Left's Assault on Our Culture and Values* (Roseville, CA: Prima Publishing, 2003), p. 159.]

As Herbert Marcuse instructed the foot soldiers embarking upon the long march, "All authentic education is political education." [Herbert Marcuse, *Counterrevolution and Revolt* (Boston: Beacon Press, 1972), p. 47.]

So, public schools and universities today are what columnist Joseph Sobran calls "liberalism's reproductive system," [Quoted in Ann Coulter, *Godless: The Church of Liberalism* (New York: Crown Forum, 2006), pp. 10-11] or, as Ann Coulter said, "Left's madrassas." [Ann Coulter, *Godless: The Church of Liberalism* (New York: Crown Forum, 2006), p. 12]

In conclusion, America today is in the throws of the greatest and direst transformation in its history.

It's exactly what we have seen in Russia, and now it's coming here.

My message today is that communism is coming here, it's growing and it will eventually destroy America, as it seeks to destroy, everything that we have ever defined as our freedom and our civilization.

In spite of the collapse of the Soviet Union, the neo-Marxists have been extremely successful in embedding their ideology through the so-called "multiculturalism," and "political correctness" into our daily lives. It has seeped into the collective consciousness like a virus becoming mainstream thought until all are infected without realizing it. Our society is already enthralled by that new kind of Marxism, which is strangling our freedom, and threatening our future.

The Gramscian and Marcusean revolutionaries knew exactly what they wanted to do and how to do it. They have succeeded in accomplishing much of their satanic agenda.

Marx is probably laughing in hell, because he basically won...

As for me, I hate communism — in any shape or form it comes — I hate it with all my heart, with every fiber of my being, and I'll fight it until my last breath.